

DELAWARE RIVER JOINT TOLL BRIDGE COMMISSION  
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING  
MORRISVILLE, PA 19067

---

ADDENDUM NO. 2

This **Addendum No. 2** gives additional information in connection with RFP **Contract No. C-727A** and is hereby made a part of the RFP. This Addendum is to be signed by the Consultant and this **Page AD2-1** is to be attached to the Proposal.

This Addendum including pages **AD2-1** through **AD2-2** is hereby accepted and agreed that it shall become part of the **RFP, Contract No. C-727A**.

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
(CONSULTANT'S NAME)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(SIGNATURE)

## **ADDENDUM NO. 2**

### **A. Modifications to Request for Proposals**

1. Submission Requirements, Fee Proposal (separate sealed envelope), Item 4, Page 19  
Delete Item 4 in its entirety.
2. Submission Requirements, Fee Proposal (separate sealed envelope), Item 5, Page 19  
Revise the first sentence to read as follows:

“Payments to the Consultant will be commission-based, include all subconsultant costs and determined as a percentage of the actual project costs performed under the JOC Program.”

### **B. Responses to Inquiries Submitted as of May 25, 2018**

1. **Inquiry**: Part IX – Construction Management requires the provision a Resident Engineer and/or Inspectors who will be responsible for many of the tasks typically performed by an authorized owner’s representative (AOR) that would coordinate and schedule the work of a licensed engineer and inspector, when required. Would the Commission consider a proposed scope of services that provides for qualified AORs to perform those tasks which do not require a professional engineering license or technical inspection certification, with the AOR responsible for scheduling and confirming the performance of those specialized services with the commission’s existing engineering and inspection consultants; thereby reducing the overall costs of managing each project for the Commission? Please confirm whether the commission will accept a proposal that does not include the provision of a Resident Engineer and/or Inspector by proposers, and enables proposers to schedule such work to be performed through the Commissions internal or third-party resources that are readily available.

**Response**: The Commission may request the Consultant to perform construction management and construction inspection type services and desires Consultants to include, as part of their Proposal, provisions for a Resident Engineer and/or Inspectors as outlined in the RFP. However, the Commission is willing to consider additional alternative approaches to providing construction management and construction inspection services.

2. **Inquiry**: The fee requirements state that the fee must be proposed as a percentage of the value of construction procured through the JOC program. However, the RFP also states that “the Prime Consultant will be reimbursed for the actual billings by all subconsultants. Markups will not be permitted on subconsultant costs. Will the Commission allow for a proposed percentage fee that includes all subcontractor costs instead of seeking reimbursement from the Commission for such costs? Many subconsultants will not work under a percentage of fee model and proposer typically incorporates those costs directly into the percentage fee proposed. Please confirm this is acceptable.

**Response**: Refer to RFP Submission Requirements, Fee Proposal (separate sealed envelope), Items 4 & 5, Page 19 and modifications to the same contained herein this Addendum No. 2. The intention is for the proposed percentage fee to include prime and subconsultant costs. Compensation for subconsultant(s) shall be as per agreement(s) between the prime and their subconsultant(s).